

# **ETS®** Performance Assessment for Teacher Leaders (PATL)

### Task 2: Research for the Improvement of Practice

Rubric for Step 1: The Research Process (Textbox 2.1.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level	A response at the 2 level	A response at the 3 level	A response at the 4 level
provides <i>minimal</i> evidence	provides partial evidence that	provides <i>effective</i> evidence	provides <i>extensive</i> evidence
that demonstrates the	demonstrates the teacher	that demonstrates the	that demonstrates the
teacher leader candidate's	leader candidate's ability to	teacher leader candidate's	teacher leader candidate's
ability to initiate the	initiate the research, based	ability to initiate the	ability to initiate the
research, based on the	on the knowledge of his or	research, based on the	research, based on the
knowledge of his or her	her discipline and the	knowledge of his or her	knowledge of his or her
discipline and the structure of	structure of the curriculum,	discipline and the structure of	discipline and the structure of
the curriculum, with	with colleagues; and to guide	the curriculum, with	the curriculum, with
colleagues; and to guide	colleagues to identify and	colleagues; and to guide	colleagues; and to guide
colleagues to identify and	clarify the curriculum-based	colleagues to identify and	colleagues to identify and
clarify the curriculum-based	subject of the research; to	clarify the curriculum-based	clarify the curriculum-based
subject of the research; to	design a plan for research	subject of the research; to	subject of the research; to
design a plan for research	and to guide colleagues to	design a plan for research	design a plan for research
and to guide colleagues to	collaborate in the design of	and to guide colleagues to	and to guide colleagues to
collaborate in the design of	the plan; to guide colleagues	collaborate in the design of	collaborate in the design of
the plan; to guide colleagues	in the process of accessing	the plan; to guide colleagues	the plan; to guide colleagues
in the process of accessing	and using research; to	in the process of accessing	in the process of accessing
and using research; to	facilitate analysis and	and using research; to	and using research; to
facilitate analysis and	decision making during the	facilitate analysis and	facilitate analysis and
decision making during the	research process with both	decision making during the	decision making during the
research process with both	individuals and the group;	research process with both	research process with both
individuals and the group;	and to guide colleagues to	individuals and the group;	individuals and the group;

PATL Task 2 – Research for the Improvement of Practice



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
and to guide colleagues to collaborate with an educational organization or organizations that have researched related issues.	collaborate with an educational organization or organizations that have researched related issues.  The preponderance of	and to guide colleagues to collaborate with an educational organization or organizations that have researched related issues.	and to guide colleagues to collaborate with an educational organization or organizations that have researched related issues.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.	evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is <i>appropriate</i> and <i>connected</i> throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 1.

# Rubric for Response for Textbox 2.1.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3 Score of 4	
	Response provides evidence that ncludes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that ncludes the following:  Response provides evidence that includes the following:	hat
•	little or no evidence of specific steps to initiate the research process, based on the knowledge of the discipline and the structure of the curriculum, with colleagues	<ul> <li>inconsistent evidence of specific steps to initiate the research process, based on the knowledge of the discipline and the structure of the curriculum, with colleagues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>effective evidence of specific steps to initiate the research process, based on the knowledge of the discipline and the structure of the curriculum, with colleagues</li> <li>informed evidence of specific steps to initiate the research process, based on the knowledge of the discipline and the structure of the curriculum, with colleagues</li> <li>insightful evidence of guiding</li> </ul>	ch e
•	trivial evidence of guiding colleagues to identify and clarify the curriculum-based research subject	<ul> <li>limited evidence of guiding colleagues to identify and clarify the curriculum-based research subject</li> </ul>	colleagues to identify and clarify the curriculum-based research subject colleagues to identify and clarify the curriculum-base research subject	•

PATL Task 2 – Research for the Improvement of Practice



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3 Score of 4	
<ul> <li>little or no evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate in the design of the plan for research</li> </ul>	vague evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate in the design of the plan for research	<ul> <li>appropriate evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate in the design of the plan for research</li> <li>extensive evidence of guiding colleagues to colleagues to colleagues to the design of the plan for research</li> </ul>	ıg
<ul> <li>minimal evidence of guiding colleagues in the process of accessing and using research</li> <li>little or no evidence of facilitating analysis and decision making during the research process with both individuals and the group</li> <li>unclear evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate with educational organizations that have research-related educational issues</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>incomplete evidence of guiding colleagues in the process of accessing and using research</li> <li>incomplete evidence of facilitating analysis and decision making during the research process with both individuals and the group</li> <li>incomplete evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate with educational organizations that have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>effective evidence of guiding colleagues in the process of accessing and using research</li> <li>relevant evidence of facilitating analysis and decision making during the research process with both individuals and the group</li> <li>relevant evidence of facilitating analysis and decision making during the research process with both individuals and the group</li> <li>relevant evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate with educational organizations that have research-related</li> <li>significant evidence of guiding colleagues in the process of accessing and using research</li> <li>through evidence of facilitating analysis and decision making during the research process with both individuals and the group</li> <li>in-depth evidence of guiding colleagues to collaborate with educational organizations the have research-related</li> </ul>	th
	research-related educational issues	educational issues	

### Rubric for Step 2: Data Analysis and Application (Textbox 2.2.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides <i>minimal</i> evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate colleagues' analysis	that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate colleagues'	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate colleagues'
colleagues' analysis of the	of the resulting curriculum-	analysis of the resulting	analysis of the resulting



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
resulting curriculum-based data and their application of the data findings to impact student learning.	based data and their application of the data findings to impact student learning.	curriculum-based data and their application of the data findings to impact student learning.	curriculum-based data and their application of the data findings to impact student learning.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 2.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 2.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 2.

## Rubric for Response for Textbox 2.2.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
trivial evidence of facilitating colleagues' analysis of the resulting curriculum-based data and the application of their findings to impact student learning	partial evidence of facilitating colleagues' analysis of the resulting curriculum-based data and the application of their findings to impact student learning	effective evidence of facilitating colleagues' analysis of the resulting curriculum-based data and the application of their findings to impact student learning	insightful evidence of facilitating colleagues' analysis of the resulting curriculum-based data and the application of their findings to impact student learning



## Rubric for Step 3: Student Learning and Professional Practice (Textbox 2.3.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the effect of the curriculum-based research process on student learning; to determine the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and improve professional practice; and to determine the ongoing impact of the research process on the colleagues.	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the effect of the curriculum-based research process on student learning; to determine the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and improve professional practice; and to determine the ongoing impact of the research process on the colleagues.	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the effect of the curriculum-based research process on student learning; to determine the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and improve professional practice; and to determine the ongoing impact of the research process on the colleagues.	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence that demonstrates the teacher leader candidate's ability to determine the effect of the curriculum-based research process on student learning; to determine the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and improve professional practice; and to determine the ongoing impact of the research process on the colleagues.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 3.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is <i>appropriate</i> and <i>connected</i> throughout the response for Step 3.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 3.



# Rubric for Response for Textbox 2.3.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3 Score of 4
	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:  Response provides evidence that includes the following:
•	little or no evidence of the curriculum-based research process's effect on student learning	cursory evidence of the curriculum-based research process's effect on student learning	<ul> <li>informed evidence of the curriculum-based research process's effect on student learning</li> <li>in-depth evidence of the curriculum-based research process's effect on student learning</li> </ul>
	ineffective evidence of the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and that improve professional practice	limited evidence of the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and that improve professional practice	<ul> <li>logical evidence of the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and that improve professional practice</li> <li>significant evidence of the effect of the research process on colleagues' ability to select strategies that support positive student learning and that improve professional practice</li> </ul>
•	minimal evidence that the impact of the research process on professional learning is ongoing	incomplete evidence that the impact of the research process on professional learning is ongoing	<ul> <li>appropriate evidence that the impact of the research process on professional learning is ongoing</li> <li>thorough evidence that the impact of the research process on professional learning is ongoing</li> </ul>



### Rubric for Responses for all Textboxes

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>disconnected and/or trivial examples to support the responses</li> </ul>	loosely connected and/or cursory examples to support the responses	connected and effective     examples to support the     responses	tightly connected and detailed examples to support the responses

Copyright © 2020 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries.